

MOBILE IRRIGATION LAB

2011 2nd Quarter Report

***COLLIER SOIL AND WATER CONSERVATION DISTRICT
NATURAL RESOURCES CONSERVATION SERVICE
BIG CYPRESS BASIN***



*14700 Immokalee Rd
Naples, Florida 34120
(239) 455-4100*

Abstract

The Collier County Urban Mobile Irrigation Lab (MIL) completed twenty eight evaluations for the second quarter of project year 2011. These evaluations produced Potential Water Savings (PWS) of 60.7 million gallons per year (186.4 acre-feet). Of the twenty eight evaluations, seven follow up evaluations were performed for an Actual Water Savings (FAWS) of 4.9 million gallons of water per year (15.2 acre feet) of water savings. But with changes to the homeowner's controllers the Collier MIL has Immediate Actual Water Savings of (IAWS) 54.8 million gallons of water (168.2 acre feet) just by reducing long run times and multiple programs on irrigation controllers.

The Collier MIL was acknowledged during the evaluations and the Rookery Bay Best Management Practices (BMP) training course available to the contractors of Collier County and the Water Symposium held at Bear's Paw. The Collier MIL also displayed a booth at the South Florida Water Management District Water Conservation Exposition in West Palm in March. The MIL also reaches the community through Power Point presentations and conservation expositions. The MIL is working to have a local broadcast running in Collier County.

Introduction

The Collier Soil and Water Conservation District Urban Mobile Irrigation Lab's mission is to promote water conservation through on-site evaluations of irrigation systems and conservation education.

Evaluation Methods

There are three levels of evaluation: visual inspection; pressure and flow check; and the efficiency test. Visual inspections are conducted first to determine if the system is in disrepair or has poor coverage. If the system is found to be in poor condition the other levels of evaluation are not carried out. Pressure and flow checks on individual sprinkler heads or emitters are conducted next. If pressure and flow are found to be uniform a catch can test is performed to determine optimum run times for the zones in the system.

Common Problems

The average operator is unaware of watering restrictions and what the proper irrigation schedule should be for their lawn and landscape. Most systems evaluated this year were using municipal sources that are expensive to operate or dual systems that have limitations on usage. The main concerns were saving money and water. Most of the evaluations requested this year were from other customer referrals and MIL flyers. The Mobile Irrigation Lab and evaluation report gives system operators and managers a realistic view of what their systems can do and how to improve their system to save water. The major problems were blocked sprinklers and wrong settings and times on the controllers. Homeowners have multiple programs running and overlapping other programs. Many rain sensors are bypassed and set too high. Unmatched precipitation rates with rotors on the same zone were found almost on every site. Residents have lawn and landscaping zones watering together and overwatering landscaping areas.

Conservation Education/Outreach

For the second quarter of 2011 the Collier Soil and Water Conservation District Big Cypress Basin MIL gave presentations to homeowners associations, and other interested groups. These presentations are documented in Attachment 3.

Training

The training of the City of Naples irrigation staff continues with conservation as the main objective in median strips and parks.

Appendix A Definitions

AWS and PWS Definitions

The goal of an irrigation evaluation is to determine the capacity and efficiency of an irrigation system. This information is then used to develop a sound Irrigation Management Plan in which, irrigation water is applied only when needed and only in amounts which can be fully utilized by healthy plants.

Properly managed irrigation is used to supplement natural rainfall. The amount of irrigation required annually is the Net Irrigation Requirement (NIR) and is defined as;

$$\text{NIR} = \text{Crop water requirement} - \text{Effective rainfall}$$

The efficiency of an irrigation system is defined in terms of Distribution Uniformity (DU) for sprinklers and Emission Uniformity (EU) for microirrigation. These terms are defined in the [USDA-NRCS Irrigation Guide](#). These numbers, in the form of percentages, are used to calculate the run times of irrigation events. The annual water use of a properly managed irrigation system is;

$$\text{Gross application} = \text{NIR/DU or EU}$$

Potential Water Savings (PWS) – The total amount of irrigation water that can be saved annually by following the recommendations derived from an irrigation system evaluation.

PWS_(management) - The amount of irrigation water that can be saved annually by schedule changes (run time and frequency) alone.

$$\text{PWS(man)} = \text{measured water use} - \text{projected water use}$$

PWS(design) – The additional amount of irrigation water that can be saved annually by improving the performance of the system and readjusting the schedule.

$$\text{NIR/DU}_{(\text{present})} - \text{NIR/DU}_{(\text{projected})}$$

Actual Water Savings (AWS) - The total amount of water which is saved for a period of x years as a direct result of following the recommendations derived from an irrigation system evaluation.

Instant AWS can be achieved if repairs are made, resulting in quantifiable water savings or if the controller settings are adjusted (schedule change) at the time of the evaluation or when the report is delivered.

AWS schedule changes can be documented in person or by phone and AWS design and repairs can be documented by follow-up evaluations.

The following definitions and formulas are taken from the “Mobile Irrigation Laboratory Urban Irrigation Evaluation & Troubleshooting Training Manual” (Mickler1998).

1. Determine average application rate

Meter records water use in gallons

$$\text{Average application rate} = \frac{\text{Volume}}{\text{Area} \times \text{Time}} \times 5775.4$$

Where *Average application rate* = Inches per hour (iph)
Volume = Volume required for needle in water meter to make one complete revolution (gal)
Area = Irrigated area (ft²)
Time = Time required for needle in water meter to make one complete revolution (s)

No water meter present

$$\text{Flow rate} = \frac{\text{Volume}}{\text{Time}} \times 0.01585$$

Where *Flow rate* = Gallons per minute (GPM)
Volume = Volume collected (ml)
Time = Time that water was collected (s)

2. Determine distribution uniformity

$$DU = \frac{\text{Low quarter average}}{\text{Total average}} \times 100$$

When *DU* = Distribution uniformity in percent
Low quarter average = Average volume in the 25% of cans that received the least water (ml)
Total average = Average volume of all cans (ml)

3. Determine the effective application rate

$$\text{Effective application rate} = \text{Average application rate} \times DU$$

4. Calculate operating time

$$\text{Watering time} = \frac{\text{Plant water requirement}}{\text{Effective application rate}} \times 60$$

Where *Watering time* = Suggested time that a zone should be operated (min)
Plant watering requirement = 0.5 or 0.25 depending on location (in)
Effective application rate = From step 3 (iph)

5. Determine water used per operating cycle

When used per operating cycle is calculated by the following equation:

$$\text{Current usage} = \text{Flow rate} \times \text{time}$$

Where *Current usage* = Total water used for a given zone per irrigation cycle (gal)
Flow rate = Determined from equations below (gpm)
Time = Time a zone is operated during a scheduled irrigation cycle (min)

If water meter records units of gallons, use the following equation:

$$\text{Flow rate} = \frac{10 \text{ gallons}}{\text{Time}} \times 60$$

Where *Flow rate* = Flow through a particular zone (gpm)
Time = Time required for the needle on the meter to make one complete revolution(s)

If no water meter is present, determine the flow rate from each sprinkler within one zone and add them all together.

$$\text{Flow rate} = \frac{\text{Volume}}{\text{Time}} \times 0.01585$$

Where *Flow rate* = Gallons per minute (gpm)
Volume = Volume collected (ml)
Time = Time that water was collected

Appendix B Problem Descriptions

Problem Descriptions - Problems are irrigation system or management factors that limit irrigation system performance or efficiency. Problems are noted during the site visit, system evaluation, and/or through discussions with the operator.

Code	Description of Problems
Pressure / Application Rate	
1	Under-sized pump for number and type of sprinkler heads or emitters
2	Pressure loss between pump and sprinklers/emitters due to inadequate pipe size
3	Higher pressure than manufacturer's specifications
4	Lower pressure than manufacturer's specifications
5	Low pressure due to water supply
6	Different pressure between manifolds
7	Small wetted area
8	Application rate > soil infiltration rate (ponding)
9	Air in pipelines
10	Turf and landscape area irrigated in the same zone
11	Pressure variation due to elevation differences
<u>Emitters / Sprinklers</u>	
20	Mixed sprinkler/emitter sizes & unmatched precipitation in the same zone
21	Mixed sprinkler/emitter brands or types in the same zone
22	Poor emitter/sprinkler uniformity due to worn orifice
23	Poor overlap due to improper sprinkler/emitter alignment or spacing
24	Various riser heights in same zone
25	Emitter/sprinkler spacing varies in same zone
26	Missing/malfunctioning emitters or sprinklers
27	Missing/malfunctioning pressure gauge/regulator/filter
<u>Maintenance – Irrigation System</u>	
30	Leaks and broken valves, pipe, laterals lines (Poly-tubing), emitters, sprinklers
31	Clogged filter or filter screen
32	Sprinkler heads not properly adjusted, causing overflow on paved areas
33	Clogged emitters/nozzles (due to biological, chemical or physical factors)
34	Leaning sprinklers/emitters causing non-uniform distribution
35	Malfunctioning valves
<u>Maintenance – Landscape</u>	
40	Stream of water blocked by vegetation
41	Variable crop spacing and stage of growth
42	Poor drainage, requiring water control
<u>Operation / Management</u>	
50	Operating time too long
51	Operating time too short
52	Operating time too frequent
53	No rain shut-off device
54	No soil moisture measuring device or rain gage
55	No irrigation water management plan

References

1. Anonymous. ?????. National Engineering Hand book (NEH), part 652, Irrigation Guide.
2. Anonymous. 1998. Florida Irrigation Guide (USDA – NRCS)
3. Belz, D. J., L. J. Carter, D. A. Dearstyne and J. D. Overing. 1990. Soil Survey of Hendry County, Florida. USDA SCS, Gainesville, FL.
4. Carter, L., D. Lewis, J. Vega, D. J. Belz, D. Prevost, K. Scalzone, J. Falkenburg, R. Murphy, J. Engle, T.D'Avello, M. E. McFadden, and R. Jaros. 2000. Soil Survey of Glades County, Florida. USDA NRCS, Gainesville, FL.
5. Henderson, W. G. Jr., L. J. Carter, A. L. Moore, R. A. Stein, C. A. Wettstein, and H. Yamataki. 1984. Soil Survey of Charlotte County, Florida. USDA SCS, Gainesville, FL.
6. Henderson, W. G. Jr., L. J. Carter, A. L. Moore, R. A. Stein, C. A. Wettstein, and H. Yamataki. 1984. Soil Survey of Lee County, Florida. USDA SCS, Gainesville, FL.
7. Liudahl, K. H., D. J. Belz, L. Carey, R. W. Drew, S. Fisher, and R. Pate. 1998. Soil Survey of Collier County Area, Florida. USDA NRCS, Gainesville, FL.
8. McCollum, S. H., O.E. Cruz, L. T. Stem, W. T. Wittstruck, R. D. Ford, and F. C. Watts, 1978. Soil Survey of Palm Beach County Area, Florida. USDA SCS, Gainesville, FL.
9. McCollum, S. H., O.E. Cruz, D. J. Belz, L. J. Carter, and D. J. deFrancesco, 1981. Soil Survey of Martin County Area, Florida. USDA SCS, Gainesville, FL.
10. Mickler, J. C. 1998. Mobile Irrigation Laboratory Urban Irrigation Evaluation & Troubleshooting Training Manual. USDA NRCS, Gainesville, FL.
11. Noble, C. V., R. W. Drew, and J. D. Slabaugh. 1996. Soil Survey of Dade County Area, Florida. USDA NRCS, Gainesville, FL.
12. Pendleton, R. F. H. D, Dollar, L. Law, Jr., S. H. McCollum, and D. J. Belz. 1984. Soil Survey of Broward County, Florida Eastern Part. USDA SCS, Gainesville, FL.
13. Watts, F. C., D. L. Stanky, M. J. Jones, and R. H. Lisante. 1980. Soil Survey of St. Lucie County Area, Florida. USDA SCS, Gainesville, FL.
14. Zazueta, F.S., A. Brockway, L. Landrum, and B. McCarty, 1989. Turf Irrigation for the Home. Florida Cooperative Extension Service, IFAS, University of Florida Circular 839.

USDA Non Discrimination Statement

"The U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) prohibits discrimination in all its programs and activities on the basis of race, color, national origin, sex, religion, age, disability, political beliefs, sexual orientation, and marital or family status. (Not all prohibited bases apply to all programs.) Persons with disabilities who require alternative means for communication of program information (Braille, large print, audiotape, etc.) should contact USDA's TARGET Center at (202) 720-2600 (voice and TDD).

To file a complaint of discrimination write USDA, Director, Office of Civil Rights, Room 326-W, Whitten Building, 14th and Independence Avenue, SW, Washington, DC, 20250-9410 or call (202) 720-5964 (voice or TDD). USDA is an equal opportunity provider and employer."